

Low-degree Testing or Distance to Reed-Solomon Codes

Cdric Tavernier

Projet codes, INRIA Rocquencourt
B.P. 105, 78150 Le Chesnay, France
email : Cedric.Tavernier@inria.fr

Abstract

We consider the field \mathbb{F}_q . Let $f : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ for which we only know a fraction of input and output. We suppose that q is large. We would like to give an answer to the following question: does there exist a polynomial of degree d which is very closed to the function f , and we would like to give an approximation of this distance, or equivalently, if we consider the smallest linear code of block length $q - 1$ containing both $ev(f)$ and every codeword of the Reed-Solomon code $[q - 1, d + 1]_q$ we would like to give an approximation of the minimal distance between this last code and the Reed-Solomon code $[q - 1, d + 1]_q$.

1 Introduction, The Basic Univariate Test

We want to test whether f is a polynomial of total degree d . M. Kiwi [2] describe equivalent tests that achieve this goal. Let P_d denote the set of polynomials from \mathbb{F}_q to \mathbb{F}_q of total degree d , and $C_f(d)$ the smallest linear code of block length $q - 1$ containing both $ev(f)$ and every codeword of the Reed-Solomon code $C(d) = [q - 1, d + 1]_q$, $C_f(d) \stackrel{def}{=} \{\phi ev(f) + \theta g \mid g \in C \text{ and } \phi, \theta \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$. Here is these equivalent tests.

- Basic Univariate Test [3]: Randomly pick $d + 2$ distinct points x_0, \dots, x_{d+1} in \mathbb{F}_q . Then, accept if there exists a polynomial in P_d that agrees with f on x_0, \dots, x_{d+1} , and reject otherwise.

- Basic Univariate Test: let $C(d)$ be the code whose elements are of the form $(p(x) : x \in \mathbb{F}_q)$ where p ranges over P_d . Randomly choose a dual codeword $\lambda \in C(d)^\perp$ of weight $d + 2$. Then, accept if $\lambda \in C_f(d)^\perp$, and reject otherwise.

Recall that the minimal distance of a code C is the minimum weight of the codewords in C , and is denoted $\text{wt}(C)$. We denote $\rho\text{wt}(C)$ the relative minimum distance of a code C as the minimal distance of a code C divided by its block length. So if we denote $\Delta(f, P_d)$ the normalized distance, we see that $\Delta(f, P_d) = \rho\text{wt}(C_f(d) \setminus C(d))$.

Theorem 1 [3] *Given a positive integer d , a finite field \mathbb{F}_q of size at least $d + 2$ and a function $f : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$, if f satisfies*

$$\Pr \left[\exists g \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^{(d)}[x] \text{ such that } g(x_i) = f(x_i) \forall i \in \{0, \dots, d+1\} \right] \geq 1 - \delta,$$

where the probability is taken over the uniform distribution over all $d+2$ -tuples $\{x_0, \dots, x_{d+1}\}$ of distinct elements from \mathbb{F}_q , then $\Delta(f, P_d) \leq \delta$ thus $\rho\text{wt}(C_f(d) \setminus C(d)) \leq \delta$.

The testers above establish that univariate testing can be done in polynomial time (in d), and probes f in only $\mathcal{O}(d)$ places [3], but from the point of view of testing it is not very useful, since it is not very “different” from interpolation.

2 Test based on evenly spaced points over prime field

We now describe a tester which only works for fields of the form \mathbb{F}_p for a prime p [3].

Definition 1 *We say that a set of points $\{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$ is evenly spaced if $\exists h$ such that $x_i = x_0 + i * h$.*

Lemma 1 *Given a positive integer d and a prime $p \geq d + 2$. The points $\{(x_i, y_i) | i \in \{0, \dots, d+1\}; x_i = x_0 + i * h; x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{F}_p\}$ lie on a degree d polynomial if and only if $\sum_{i=0}^{d+1} \alpha_i y_i = 0$, where $\alpha_i = (-1)^{(i+1)} \binom{d+1}{i}$.*

Theorem 2 *Given a positive integer d , a prime $p \geq d + 2$ and a function $f : \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ such that*

$$\Pr_{x, h \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{d+1} \alpha_i \cdot f(x_i) = 0 \right] \geq 1 - \delta \text{ where } \delta \leq \frac{1}{2(d+2)^2},$$

then $\Delta(f, P_d) \leq 2\delta$, or equivalently $\rho\text{wt}(C_f(d) \setminus C(d)) \leq 2\delta$.

In particular, the bound above implies that the tester resulting from this theorem would need to probe f in $\mathcal{O}(d^3)$. We get the following Evenly-Spaced-Test:

Repeat $\mathcal{O}(d^2 \log(1/\beta))$ times

Pick $x, h \in \mathbb{F}_p \times \mathbb{F}_p$ and verify that $\sum_{i=0}^{d+1} \alpha_i \cdot f(x + i * h) = 0$

Reject if any of the test fails.

Theorem 3 *If the output of a program can be expressed by a low-degree polynomial correctly on all its inputs from \mathbb{F}_p , then it is passed by Evenly-Spaced-Test. If the output of the program is not $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{d^2})$ -close to a univariate polynomial, then with probability $1 - \beta$, it is rejected by Evenly-Spaced-Test.*

3 Evenly-Spaced-Test for Extension of Prime Fields

We now extend the last results to the field $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. ω denote a primitive element of \mathbb{F}_{p^n} .

Definition 2 *We say that a set of distinct points $\{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$ is regularly spaced if there exist $x, h, \omega \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^* \times \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$, such that $x_0 = x$ et $x_i = x + \omega^{i-1} * h$ pour $i \in \{1, \dots, d+1\}$.*

Theorem 4 *Let d an integer such that $p^n > d+1$ and a function $f : \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. Let $\{x_0, \dots, x_{d+1}\}$ a regularly-spaced set with $x_0 = x$ and $x_i = x + h \cdot \omega^{i-1}$. Let $y_i = f(x_i)$, $i \in \{0, \dots, d+1\}$. The set of (x_i, y_i) lie on a degree at most d polynomial if and only if $\sum_{i=0}^{d+1} \alpha_i(\omega, d) \cdot y_i = 0$ where α_i are given by the following recurrence $B_1^0 = B_1^1 = 1$, $B_i^0 = B_{i-1}^0 / \omega^{d-i+2}$, $B_i^i = B_{i-1}^{i-1} / (\omega^{i-1} - \omega^d)$ and $B_i^t = B_{i-1}^{t-1} / A_{i-1}^{t-1} - B_{i-1}^t / A_{i-1}^t$ where $A_i^t = \omega^{t-1} - \omega^{t+d-i}$ $t \in \{0, \dots, i\}$, then $\alpha_t(\omega, d) = B_{d+1}^t$.*

Proof: By linearity we can consider that we test the polynomial X^d . For $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ et $s \in \{1, \dots, d-j+2\}$, we define the function $f^{(j)}(x_{s-1}, \dots, x_{s+j-1}) = \frac{f^{(j-1)}(x_{s-1}, \dots, x_{s+j-2}) - f^{(j-1)}(x_s, \dots, x_{s+j-1})}{x_{s-1} - x_{s+j-1}}$ with $f^{(0)}(x_i) = f(x_i) = x_i^d$, $i \in \{0, \dots, d+1\}$. We show this theorem by recurrence: If $j = 1$ we see that $f^{(j)}(x_{s-1}, x_s)$ is the sum of all monomial in x_{s-1}, x_s of degree $d-1$, we suppose that $f^{(j)}(x_{s-1}, \dots, x_{s+j-1})$ is the sum of all monomial of degree $n-j$. Now at rank $j+1$, for any monomial $x_{s-1}^{i_{s-1}} x_s^{i_s} \dots x_{s+j-1}^{i_{s+j-1}}$ of $f^{(j)}(x_{s-1}, \dots, x_{s+j-1})$ we have the monomial $x_{s+j}^{i_{s-1}} x_s^{i_s} \dots x_{s+j-1}^{i_{s+j-1}}$ of $f^{(j)}(x_{s-1}, \dots, x_{s+j-1})$ with $i_{s-1} + \dots + i_{s+j-1} = n-j$, and $x_{s-1}^{i_{s-1}} x_s^{i_s} \dots x_{s+j-1}^{i_{s+j-1}} - x_{s+j}^{i_{s-1}} x_s^{i_s} \dots x_{s+j-1}^{i_{s+j-1}} = (x_{s-1} - x_{s+j}) \cdot M_{s-1, s+j}^{i_{s-1}-1} \cdot x_s^{i_s} \dots x_{s+j-1}^{i_{s+j-1}}$ where $M_{s-1, s+j}^{i_{s-1}-1}$ is the sum of all monomials of degree $i_{s-1}-1$ in x_{s-1}, x_{s+j} , so we see that $M_{s-1, s+j}^{i_{s-1}-1} \cdot x_s^{i_s} \dots x_{s+j-1}^{i_{s+j-1}}$

is a sum of monomial of degree $n - j - 1$ in x_s, \dots, x_{s+j-1} . We get that $f^{(j+1)}$ is the set of all monomials of degree $n - j - 1$. Thus if f is a polynomial of degree at most d then $f^{(d+1)}(x_0, \dots, x_{d+1}) = 0$. The construction of $f^{(j)}$ immediately gives the proof of the converse and states that there exists $\alpha_i(\omega, d)$ which never depends of h since $x_i - x_j = h \cdot (\omega^{i-1} - \omega^{j-1})$ and such that $\sum_{i=0}^{d+1} \alpha_i(\omega, d) \cdot y_i = 0$.

Theorem 5 *Given a positive integer d , a integer n such that $p^n \geq d + 2$ and a function $f : \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ such that*

$$P_{x, h \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{d+1} \alpha_i(\omega, d) \cdot f(x_i) = 0 \right] \geq 1 - \delta \quad \text{ou} \quad \delta \leq \frac{1}{2(d+2)^2},$$

then $\Delta(f, P_d) \leq 2\delta$, or equivalently $\rho wt(C_f(d) \setminus C(d)) \leq 2\delta$.

4 Extending the tester to multivariate polynomials

Theorem 6 *Given a finite field \mathbb{F}_q , such that $q > md$ and a function $f : \mathbb{F}_q^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ such that*

$$P_{x, h \in \mathbb{F}_q^m} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{d+1} \alpha_i(\omega, d) \cdot f(x_i) = 0 \right] \geq 1 - \delta \quad \text{where} \quad \delta \leq \frac{1}{2(d+2)^2},$$

then $\Delta(f, P_d^n) \leq 2\delta$, or equivalently $\rho wt(C_f(d) \setminus C(d)) \leq 2\delta$. Here $C(d)$ denote the Reed-Muller code $R[d, m]_q$.

In conclusion we can say that the theorems 3 is true for these last cases and the proof is similar to Sudan's proof. Unfortunately These tests above are usefull only if the probability δ is very clothed to 0.

References

- [1] T. Jakobsen. Cryptanalysis of block ciphers with probalistic non linear relations of low degree. In H. Krawczyk, editor, *Crypto'98*, number 1462 in LNCS, pages 347–362. Springer, 1998.
- [2] M. Kiwi. Testing and weight distribution of dual codes. In *Technical Report TR-97-010*, 1997.
- [3] M. Sudan. *Efficient Checking of Polynomial and proofs and the hardness of Approximation Problems*. PhD thesis, University of California, Berkeley, 1992.
- [4] M. Sudan. Improved low degree testing and its application. In *Technical Report*, 1997.